

Park Mtg Board Meeting
6pm, 7/6/2020

Attendees:

Kurt
John W
Kathy H
Jim steel
Joanne
Tom Meier

Note: do to Covid Epidemic, teleconference meetings are deemed legal.

Park Brd meeting agenda issues.

1. Fence on property
2. Trees on lots
3. Meeting minutes review

Minutes: not all have reviewed last mtg minutes, Push minutes to next mtg.

Fence on property.

Fence (white) - was denied

Angie - note deck railing around hot tub, not a fence. Worked with Sherwin Williams for paint, said vinyl will crack if not white, but trying for a color close to fence.

Jim Steel - met with Angie last Friday. Saw old fence in truck. Platform is ~1Yard from property line, around the hot tub. The Fence/railing is visible to neighbors, painting would be a reasonable compromise.

John W - White was rejected, color was reviewed but not concluded by Kathy yet. ARC is still reviewing this request, this does fall thru cracks as internal landscaping. Need to prevent 'eyesores'. John/Kathy McClain - still want to review the new paint scheme.

Jim Steel - since 1st rejection, can deny appeal and look at second application. Note that Hot Tub 3ft off ground, so railing higher than the normal fence.

Path forward: JimS - suggest white fence appeal reject, then review if painting will satisfy ARC appearance requirements.

ARC Kath & john reviewed images of fence painting during meeting, and it looks good, OK keep a painted brown fence.

Trees on lots

Kurt: North side lots 1-7 have some trees cut down. City request in for the Park requirements, and has not responded yet. In on lot, two were Doug Firs and near foundations = bad. Some home requirements do need trees. Suggestion, do survey of requirement and then decide what to do.

Questions:

- Does brd have to replace?
- Owner replace?
- Angie - 8yrs ago my last one died.

JohnW - primarily the Lots are along silk tassle have tree requirements.

Lot2 overlook to lot14

- Angie - 4 trees died. Decided not to replace any of them.

Kurt - need to enforce on all 23 lots, not just individual lots, will need to research Park covenant in more detail and possibly with a lawyer.

John - originally, there was a request for a privacy fence along upper lots, to minimize view into lower lots.

Jim Steel - suggested path forward;

1. City response as to what needs to be done since City originally put requirement into the Park bylaws, or
2. Respond to each case individually.

JohnW - what I have seen of the city, they can be very unresponsive. Impression from some City discussions: City wants the homeowners to deal with the issues. Suggest to review lots 1-7 since that is the area with issues now.

Kurt - note that Grand oaks does deal with issues, but these tree requirements are not spelled out in bylaws. Suggest proactive approach and see what there is needed thru the whole community.

Jim S - move to review all lots with trees. All board members agree.

Owners Q&A:

Angie - the issues as I understand:

1. White fence denied
2. Painted fence pass
3. Tree replacement?

End of Meeting

- JimS - move to adjourn mtg [7pm]
- kathyH seconds motion.

View of main area discussed.

